New excitement about SHAKESPEAR by learning about the life of the true author as reflected in

the works...his "secret identity





Click on blue links: Learn about Shakespeare's "secret identity" and why this makes his plays and other works more accessible to us, and

more delightful to us, even than ever before:

<u>Shakespeare Pseudonym</u>

Oxfordian - 97 accounts

Join the Shakespeare

(non-scholarly) discussion

Authorship 101

Top 18 Reasons

How I Became An

Oxford Fellowship

Plus the following

by John Beattie, reachable at truebard@mail.com. Longtime research has uncovered very impressive evidence that Edward de Vere, 17th Earl of Oxford (1550-1604), was

true author of the works long attributed to William Shakespeare (1564-1616)

of Stratford-upon-Avon.

The evidence is in the form of innumerable, remarkably close correlations between

the career of Oxford and the plays and other works...correlations so overwhelmingly, endlessly plentiful that, in our view, they comprise "mountain ranges" of evidence - decisively more persuasive for Oxford than the "molehills" of evidence for any other candidates such as Francis Bacon, or for the Stratford man. The enormous quantity and variety of correlations are like myriad "pieces of a puzzle" which all fit together fully, completely, seamlessly in favor of Oxford as having been

the true author. But...why does it matter who created the works since we have them anyway? It matters because as research continues today, accruing ever more knowledge about the volatile, charismatic Oxford and his eventful life and times in the court of Queen Elizabeth I (1533/1558-1603), this vastly enriches our understanding of the works, as we find extraordinary new connections and meanings,

stunning new insights and revelations. These fortify

love and admiration for

The huge "breakthrough" is that we are now able to relate the life experiences

Edward de Vere, to the

Shakespearean works in

that fellow from Stratford, in mind as the author.

of the actual creative person,

very great detail - which was always completely impossible while we had the wrong guy,

the works.

anew our already boundless

Oxford as the "secret identity" is adding a whole new dimension of glamor, mystique, and political intrique to Shakespeare! Recognition of Oxford as the true author is our key to this momentous new era of rediscovery of Shakespeare we are now engaged in. Join us! **TOPICS**

a. Why did Oxford write as "Shakespeare"?

b. Really? That Stratford

even after Oxford's

d. First Folio 1623: Ben

to Edward de Vere

author?

lifetime?

fellow wasn't the true

But why still "Shakespeare"

Jonson's hidden tribute?

100+ years of Oxfordian

to acknowledge Oxford

TV DOCUMENTARY – classic

The Shakespeare Mystery (1989) PBS Frontline

<u>"Shakespeare" By Another</u>

as the real Shakespeare?

research WHY are quite a few traditionalists reluctant

h. <u>Benefits</u> i. <u>Irony</u>

BOOKS – especially:

Biography of Oxford by Mark Anderson

g. Sunny forecast

Page 413: "In writing this book, I knew that if the Oxfordian theory did not in

<u>Name</u> (2005)

fallacies. Time and again, I discovered instead that the explanatory and revelatory power of the Oxfordian

problem is its own best

fact hold up, the narrative

would reveal its own internal

solution to the Shake-speare

advocate. But let the reader

be the ultimate judge." Shakespeare Revolutionized (2020)by James Warren

Centennial update of J. Thomas Looney's

identification of Óxford in 1920 as the true author

100 Reasons Shake-speare was the Earl of Oxford (2016) by Hank Whittemore 221122k